	ссс	NBBC	NWBC	RBC	SDC	WDC
Staff						
Overall number FTE	4	4	4	5	6.5	4.5 (was 6 until 31/3/16)
Made up of	Planning Policy manager, 2 x policy officer and policy assistant. Team carried 1 vacant post for 2 years (2014-2016) but was filled in Sept 2016. Technical support as required from design, transport, conservation and housing officers but ad hoc basis	Head of Policy and Economic Development (vacant) Principal, (inc Economic Development) senior, policy officer and planning assistant planning information officer	Manager, senior policy, policy assistant and planning information officer	senior and two planning	Manager, 1xSenior Planner, 3xPlanner, 1xAssistant Planner' 1xTechnicanNB: Technician also supports strategic housing team	Manager 3.5 x senior planner
Salary Bill	policy officers only - approx £138k annually (excludes on costs of approx. 40% of salary)	approximately £175,000 per annum including on-costs	approx £200,000	approx. £200,000 annually	279,000	275,000pa for 5 years (inc oncosts and temps) 220,000 for 1 year
Time spent on Plan making	90% of the policy officers and assistant time is spent on activities related to plan making. Approx 75% of managers time	On average 75% of the team's time is spent on activities related to plan making	75% of teams time	85% of the teams time is spent on activities related to plan making	Majority of time on plan-making and supporting technical work although planners spend 1/3 of time on neighbourhood planning	6 years
Budget						
						Total staff costs estimated as £1,037,000 over 6 years
Evidence spend to date:	Evidence pre-2012 not possible to accurately calculate but estimated in the region of £400k. 2012 - 2014 = £55k 2014 - 2016 = £202k 2017 = approx. £39k total = approx. £696k	c £275K	£325,000	£125,000	£790,000	£680,000
Anticipated further evidence spend	£35k to support CIL Future Plan review costs employment land review work and HEDNA – evidence budget 2017-2020 £30k to support 1/6 th contribution to joint work	Working now on new Local Plan	£100,000	£170,000	£150k in 2017/18	0
Examination budget	£150k for Local Plan and AAP. No budget for CIL at this time	£42,500 Inspector & Programme Officer	£120,000	£150,000 (£100k for Local Plan, £50k for CIL)	£200,000 for Inspector, £105,000 for Legal support	£200,000
Total approximate spend	Approx. £881k (excludes staff costs, future plan review costs and costs of failed examinations in 2009 and 2013)		£745,000	£445,000	£1,095,000 net of staff costs	£885,000

	CCC	NBBC	NWBC	RBC	SDC	WDC
	ccc	NDDC	NVVDC	RBC	350	VVDC
Notes						
	Total cost excludes funds to cover CIL	There is some cross over of	These costs are mainly for	These numbers include		Includes CIL evidence Possible
	examination at this time as it is not	costs between CS, Site	the Core Strategy. Some of	CIL as well as the		that examination costs will end
	currently budgeted. Where evidence has	Allocations, Development	the work crossed over into	Plan. Our budget is based		up being higher .
	been jointly commissioned only the cost	Management and CIL.	the Draft Site Allocations	on the cost of the Core		
	of the CCC element is accounted for.		Plan. Work has since started	Strategy examination.		
	There were also a large number of		on pulling the Core Strategy,			
	evidence documents that were procured		Draft Site Allocations and			
	pre 2012 to support previous versions of		Draft Development			
	the core strategy. Some of these		Management Plan into one			
	documents continue to support the		document. These costs have			
	current evidence base but it is not		not been added into this			
	possible to total the cost. It is estimated		table. Legal costs were in-			
	that at least another £200k would have		house.			
	been spent on such evidence documents					
	(not factored in above). In terms of staff,					
	our original Core Strategy work started					
	in 2005 with a team of 9, this reduced to					
	4 in 2010 and has run at 3 staff since					
	early 2014. the Planning policy team has					
	recently merged with housing strategy					
	meaning 1 officer and 1 assistant have					
	now joined the team but these have					
	more of a housing specialism as opposed					
	to planning policy (the cost of these					
	officers is not included above).					